

ON THE MAXIMAL MONOTONICITY OF THE SUM OF A MAXIMAL MONOTONE LINEAR RELATION AND THE SUBDIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR OF A SUBLINEAR FUNCTION

Heinz H. Bauschke*, Xianfu Wang[†] and Liangjin Yao[‡]

April 18, 2010

Abstract

The most important open problem in Monotone Operator Theory concerns the maximal monotonicity of the sum of two maximal monotone operators provided that Rockafellar's constraint qualification holds.

In this note, we provide a new maximal monotonicity result for the sum of a maximal monotone linear relation and the subdifferential operator of a proper, lower semicontinuous, sublinear function. The proof relies on Rockafellar's formula for the Fenchel conjugate of the sum as well as some results on the Fitzpatrick function.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:

Primary 47A06, 47H05; Secondary 47B65, 49N15, 52A41, 90C25

Keywords: Constraint qualification, convex function, convex set, Fenchel conjugate, Fitzpatrick function, linear relation, maximal monotone operator, multifunction, monotone operator, set-valued operator, subdifferential operator, sublinear function, Rockafellar's sum theorem.

*Mathematics, Irving K. Barber School, UBC Okanagan, Kelowna, British Columbia V1V 1V7, Canada.
E-mail: heinz.bauschke@ubc.ca.

[†]Mathematics, Irving K. Barber School, UBC Okanagan, Kelowna, British Columbia V1V 1V7, Canada.
E-mail: shawn.wang@ubc.ca.

[‡]Mathematics, Irving K. Barber School, UBC Okanagan, Kelowna, British Columbia V1V 1V7, Canada.
E-mail: ljinyao@interchange.ubc.ca.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that X is a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, that X^* is the continuous dual of X , and that X and X^* are paired by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $A: X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a *set-valued operator* (also known as multifunction) from X to X^* , i.e., for every $x \in X$, $Ax \subseteq X^*$, and let $\text{gra } A = \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid x^* \in Ax\}$ be the *graph* of A . Recall that A is *monotone* if

$$(1) \quad (\forall (x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A) (\forall (y, y^*) \in \text{gra } A) \quad \langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0,$$

and *maximal monotone* if A is monotone and A has no proper monotone extension (in the sense of graph inclusion). We say A is a *linear relation* if $\text{gra } A$ is a linear subspace. Monotone operators have proven to be a key class of objects in modern Optimization and Analysis; see, e.g., the books [8, 9, 10, 13, 18, 19, 17, 28] and the references therein. (We also adopt standard notation used in these books: $\text{dom } A = \{x \in X \mid Ax \neq \emptyset\}$ is the *domain* of A . Given a subset C of X , $\text{int } C$ is the *interior* of C , and \overline{C} is the *closure* of C . We set $C^\perp := \{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall c \in C) \langle x^*, c \rangle = 0\}$ and $S^\perp := \{x^{**} \in X^{**} \mid (\forall s \in S) \langle x^{**}, s \rangle = 0\}$ for a set $S \subseteq X^*$. The *indicator function* of C , written as ι_C , is defined at $x \in X$ by

$$(2) \quad \iota_C(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in C; \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given $f: X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, we set $\text{dom } f = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^*: X^* \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]: x^* \mapsto \sup_{x \in X} (\langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x))$ is the *Fenchel conjugate* of f . If f is convex and $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$, then $\partial f: X \rightrightarrows X^*: x \mapsto \{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall y \in X) \langle y - x, x^* \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\}$ is the *subdifferential operator* of f . Recall that f is *sublinear* if $f(0) = 0$, $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$, and $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for all $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ and $\lambda > 0$. Finally, the *closed unit ball* in X is denoted by $B_X := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$.) Throughout, we shall identify X with its canonical image in the bidual space X^{**} . Furthermore, $X \times X^*$ and $(X \times X^*)^* = X^* \times X^{**}$ are likewise paired via $\langle (x, x^*), (y^*, y^{**}) \rangle = \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle x^*, y^{**} \rangle$, where $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ and $(y^*, y^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}$.

Let A and B be maximal monotone operators from X to X^* . Clearly, the *sum operator* $A + B: X \rightrightarrows X^*: x \mapsto Ax + Bx = \{a^* + b^* \mid a^* \in Ax \text{ and } b^* \in Bx\}$ is monotone. Rockafellar's [16, Theorem 1] guarantees maximal monotonicity of $A + B$ under the classical *constraint qualification* $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int } \text{dom } B \neq \emptyset$ when X is reflexive. The most famous open problem concerns the behaviour in nonreflexive Banach spaces. See Simons' monograph [19] for a comprehensive account of the recent developments.

Now we focus on the special case when A is a *linear relation* and B is the subdifferential operator of a *sublinear* function f . We show that the sum theorem is true in this setting. We note in passing that in [5], it was recently shown that the sum theorem is true when A is a linear relation and B is the normal cone operator of a closed convex set. In reflexive

Banach spaces, these two results are closely related since the subdifferential operator of a sublinear function is the inverse of the normal cone operator. However, to the best of our knowledge, these two results are independent even in reflexive Banach spaces because of the constraint qualification. Recently, linear relations have increasingly been studied in detail; see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 21, 24, 26, 27] and Cross' book [11] for general background on linear relations.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect auxiliary results for future reference and for the reader's convenience. The main result (Theorem 3.1) is proved in Section 3.

2 Auxiliary Results

Fact 2.1 (Rockafellar) (See [15, Theorem 3], [19, Corollary 10.3 and Theorem 18.1], or [28, Theorem 2.8.7(iii)].)

Let $f, g : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper convex functions. Assume that there exists a point $x_0 \in \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$ such that g is continuous at x_0 . Then for every $z^ \in X^*$, there exists $y^* \in X^*$ such that*

$$(3) \quad (f + g)^*(z^*) = f^*(y^*) + g^*(z^* - y^*).$$

Furthermore, $\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$.

Fact 2.2 (Fitzpatrick) (See [12, Corollary 3.9].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone, and set*

$$(4) \quad F_A : X \times X^* \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty] : (x, x^*) \mapsto \sup_{(a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A} (\langle x, a^* \rangle + \langle a, x^* \rangle - \langle a, a^* \rangle),$$

which is the Fitzpatrick function associated with A . Then for every $(x, x^) \in X \times X^*$, the inequality $\langle x, x^* \rangle \leq F_A(x, x^*)$ is true, and equality holds if and only if $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A$.*

Fact 2.3 (Simons) (See [19, Theorem 24.1(c)], and also [25, Proposition 3.2(i)&(xi) and Theorem 4.1(b)] as well as [23].) *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone operators. Assume $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [P_X(\text{dom } F_A) - P_X(\text{dom } F_B)]$ is a closed subspace, where $P_X : (x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \rightarrow x$. If*

$$(5) \quad (x, x^*) \text{ is monotonically related to } \text{gra}(A + B) \Rightarrow x \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B,$$

then $A + B$ is maximal monotone.

Fact 2.4 (Simons) (See [19, Lemma 19.7 and Section 22].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that $\text{gra } A \neq \emptyset$. Then the function*

$$(6) \quad g : X \times X^* \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty] : (x, x^*) \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle + \iota_{\text{gra } A}(x, x^*)$$

is proper and convex.

Fact 2.5 (Simons) (See [20, Lemma 2.2].) *Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex. Let $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\inf f < \lambda < f(x) \leq +\infty$, and set*

$$K := \sup_{a \in X, a \neq x} \frac{\lambda - f(a)}{\|x - a\|}.$$

Then $K \in]0, +\infty[$ and for every $\varepsilon \in]0, 1[$, there exists $(y, y^) \in \text{gra } \partial f$ such that*

$$(7) \quad \langle y - x, y^* \rangle \leq -(1 - \varepsilon)K\|y - x\| < 0.$$

Fact 2.6 (See [28, Theorem 2.4.14].) *Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a sublinear function. Then the following hold.*

- (i) $\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in \partial f(0) \mid \langle x^*, x \rangle = f(x)\}, \quad \forall x \in \text{dom } f.$
- (ii) $\partial f(0) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow f$ is lower semicontinuous at 0.
- (iii) If f is lower semicontinuous, then $f = \sup \langle \cdot, \partial f(0) \rangle$.

Fact 2.7 (See [13, Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 1.11].) *Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a lower semicontinuous convex and $\text{int dom } f \neq \emptyset$. Then f is continuous on $\text{int dom } f$ and $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in \text{int dom } f$.*

Lemma 2.8 *Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a sublinear function. Then $\text{dom } f + \text{int dom } f = \text{int dom } f$.*

Proof. The result is trivial when $\text{int dom } f = \emptyset$ so we assume that $x_0 \in \text{int dom } f$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x_0 + \delta B_X \subseteq \text{dom } f$. By sublinearity, $\forall y \in \text{dom } f$, we have $y + x_0 + \delta B_X \subseteq \text{dom } f$. Hence

$$y + x_0 \in \text{int dom } f.$$

Then $\text{dom } f + \text{int dom } f \subseteq \text{int dom } f$. Since $0 \in \text{dom } f$, $\text{int dom } f \subseteq \text{dom } f + \text{int dom } f$. Hence $\text{dom } f + \text{int dom } f = \text{int dom } f$. ■

Lemma 2.9 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximal monotone linear relation, and let $z \in X \cap (A0)^\perp$. Then $z \in \overline{\text{dom } A}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $z \notin \overline{\text{dom } A}$. Then the Separation Theorem provides $w^* \in X^*$ such that

$$(8) \quad \langle z, w^* \rangle > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w^* \in \overline{\text{dom } A}^\perp.$$

Thus, $(0, w^*)$ is monotonically related to $\text{gra } A$. Since A is maximal monotone, we deduce that $w^* \in A0$. By assumption, $\langle z, w^* \rangle = 0$, which contradicts (8). Hence, $z \in \overline{\text{dom } A}$. ■

The proof of the next result follows closely the proof of [19, Theorem 53.1].

Lemma 2.10 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation, and let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function. Suppose that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } \partial f \neq \emptyset$, $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ is monotonically related to $\text{gra}(A + \partial f)$, and that $z \in \text{dom } A$. Then $z \in \text{dom } \partial f$.*

Proof. Let $c_0 \in X$ and $y^* \in X^*$ be such that

$$(9) \quad c_0 \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } \partial f \quad \text{and} \quad (z, y^*) \in \text{gra } A.$$

Take $c_0^* \in Ac_0$, and set

$$(10) \quad M := \max \{ \|y^*\|, \|c_0^*\| \},$$

$D := [c_0, z]$, and $h := f + \iota_D$. By (9), Fact 2.7 and Fact 2.1, $\partial h = \partial f + \partial \iota_D$. Set $H : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty] : x \mapsto h(x + z) - \langle z^*, x \rangle$. It remains to show that

$$(11) \quad 0 \in \text{dom } \partial H.$$

If $\inf H = H(0)$, then (11) holds. Now suppose that $\inf H < H(0)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\inf H < \lambda < H(0)$, and set

$$(12) \quad K_\lambda := \sup_{H(x) < \lambda} \frac{\lambda - H(x)}{\|x\|}.$$

We claim that

$$K_\lambda \leq M.$$

By Fact 2.5, we have $K_\lambda \in]0, \infty[$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, 1[$, by $\text{gra } \partial H = \text{gra } \partial h - (z, z^*)$ there exists $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra } \partial h$ such that

$$(13) \quad \langle x - z, x^* - z^* \rangle \leq -(1 - \varepsilon)K_\lambda \|x - z\| < 0.$$

Since $\partial h = \partial f + \partial \iota_D$, there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ with $x_1^* \in \partial f(x)$ and $x_2^* \in \partial \iota_D(x)$ such that $x = tc_0 + (1 - t)z$ and $x^* = x_1^* + x_2^*$. Then $\langle x - z, x_2^* \rangle \geq 0$. Thus, by (13),

$$(14) \quad \langle x - z, x_1^* - z^* \rangle \leq \langle x - z, x_1^* + x_2^* - z^* \rangle \leq -(1 - \varepsilon)K_\lambda \|x - z\| < 0.$$

As $x = tc_0 + (1-t)z$ and A is a linear relation, we have $(x, tc_0^* + (1-t)y^*) \in \text{gra } A$. Since (z, z^*) is monotonically related to $\text{gra}(A + \partial f)$, by (10),

$$(15) \quad \langle x - z, x_1^* - z^* \rangle \geq -\langle x - z, tc_0^* + (1-t)y^* \rangle \geq -M\|x - z\|.$$

Combining (15) and (14), we obtain

$$(16) \quad -M\|x - z\| \leq -(1-\varepsilon)K_\lambda\|x - z\| < 0.$$

Hence, $(1-\varepsilon)K_\lambda \leq M$. Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we deduce that $K_\lambda \leq M$. Then, by (12) and letting $\lambda \uparrow H(0)$, we get

$$(17) \quad H(y) + M\|y\| \geq H(0), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

By [19, Example 7.1], $0 \in \text{dom } \partial H$. Hence (11) holds and thus $z \in \text{dom } \partial f$. ■

3 Main Result

Theorem 3.1 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximal monotone linear relation, let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper lower semicontinuous sublinear function, and suppose that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } \partial f \neq \emptyset$. Then $A + \partial f$ is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ and suppose that

$$(18) \quad (z, z^*) \text{ is monotonically related to } \text{gra}(A + \partial f).$$

By Fact 2.2, $\text{dom } A \subseteq P_X(\text{dom } F_A)$ and $\text{dom } \partial f \subseteq P_X(\text{dom } F_{\partial f})$. Hence,

$$(19) \quad \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(P_X(\text{dom } F_A) - P_X(\text{dom } F_{\partial f})) = X.$$

Thus, by Fact 2.3, it suffices to show that

$$(20) \quad z \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } \partial f.$$

We have

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle z, z^* \rangle - \langle z, x^* \rangle - \langle x, z^* \rangle + \langle x, x^* \rangle + \langle x - z, y^* \rangle \\ & = \langle z - x, z^* - x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A, (x, y^*) \in \text{gra } \partial f. \end{aligned}$$

By Fact 2.6(ii), $\partial f(0) \neq \emptyset$. By (21),

$$\inf [\langle z, z^* \rangle - \langle z, A0 \rangle - \langle z, \partial f(0) \rangle] = \inf_{a^* \in A0, b^* \in \partial f(0)} [\langle z, z^* \rangle - \langle z, a^* \rangle - \langle z, b^* \rangle] \geq 0.$$

Thus, because $A0$ is a linear subspace,

$$(22) \quad z \in X \cap (A0)^\perp.$$

Then, by Fact 2.6(iii),

$$\langle z, z^* \rangle \geq f(z).$$

Thus,

$$(23) \quad z \in \text{dom } f.$$

By (22) and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(24) \quad z \in \overline{\text{dom } A}.$$

By Fact 2.6(i), $y^* \in \partial f(0)$ as $y^* \in \partial f(x)$. Then $\langle x - z, y^* \rangle \leq f(x - z)$, $\forall y^* \in \partial f(x)$. Thus, by (21), we have

$$(25) \quad \langle z, z^* \rangle - \langle z, x^* \rangle - \langle x, z^* \rangle + \langle x, x^* \rangle + f(x - z) \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A, x \in \text{dom } \partial f.$$

Let $C := \text{int dom } f$. Then by Fact 2.7, we have

$$(26) \quad \langle z, z^* \rangle - \langle z, x^* \rangle - \langle x, z^* \rangle + \langle x, x^* \rangle + f(x - z) \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A, x \in C.$$

Set $j := (f(\cdot - z) + \iota_C) \oplus \iota_{X^*}$ and

$$(27) \quad g: X \times X^* \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty] : (x, x^*) \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle + \iota_{\text{gra } A}(x, x^*).$$

By Fact 2.4, g is convex. Hence,

$$(28) \quad h := g + j$$

is convex as well. Let

$$(29) \quad c_0 \in \text{dom } A \cap C.$$

By Lemma 2.8 and (23), $z + c_0 \in \text{int dom } f$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $z + c_0 + \delta B_X \subseteq \text{dom } f$ and $c_0 + \delta B_X \subseteq \text{dom } f$. By (24), $z + c_0 \in \overline{\text{dom } A}$ since $\text{dom } A$ is a linear subspace. Thus there exists $b \in \frac{1}{2}\delta B_X$ such that $z + c_0 + b \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } f$. Let $v^* \in A(z + c_0 + b)$. Since $c_0 + b \in \text{int dom } f$,

$$(30) \quad (z + c_0 + b, v^*) \in \text{gra } A \cap (\text{int } C \cap \text{int dom } f(\cdot - z) \times X^*) = \text{dom } g \cap \text{int dom } j \neq \emptyset.$$

By Fact 2.7 (applied to f) and Fact 2.1 (applied to g and j), there exists $(y^*, y^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
h^*(z^*, z) &= g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + j^*(z^* - y^*, z - y^{**}) \\
&= g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) + \sup_{x \in C} [\langle x, z^* - y^* \rangle - f(x - z)] \\
&\geq g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) + \sup_{x \in z + C} [\langle x, z^* - y^* \rangle - f(x - z)] \text{ (by Lemma 2.8 and (23))} \\
&= g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle + \sup_{y \in C} [\langle y, z^* - y^* \rangle - f(y)] \\
&= g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle + \sup_{\{y \in C, k > 0\}} [\langle ky, z^* - y^* \rangle - f(ky)] \\
&= g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle + \sup_{\{y \in C, k > 0\}} k [\langle y, z^* - y^* \rangle - f(y)] \\
(31) \quad &\geq g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By (26), we have, for every $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A \cap (C \times X^*)$, $\langle (x, x^*), (z^*, z) \rangle - h(x, x^*) = \langle x, z^* \rangle + \langle z, x^* \rangle - \langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x - z) \leq \langle z, z^* \rangle$. Consequently,

$$(32) \quad h^*(z^*, z) \leq \langle z, z^* \rangle.$$

Combining (31) with (32), we obtain

$$(33) \quad g^*(y^*, y^{**}) + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle + \iota_{\{0\}}(z - y^{**}) \leq \langle z, z^* \rangle.$$

Therefore, $y^{**} = z$. Hence $g^*(y^*, z) + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle \leq \langle z, z^* \rangle$. Since $g^*(y^*, z) = F_A(z, y^*)$, we deduce that $F_A(z, y^*) \leq \langle z, y^* \rangle$. By Fact 2.2,

$$(34) \quad (z, y^*) \in \text{gra } A$$

Hence

$$z \in \text{dom } A.$$

Apply Lemma 2.10 to obtain $z \in \text{dom } \partial f$. Then $z \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } \partial f$. Hence $A + B$ is maximal monotone. \blacksquare

Example 3.2 Suppose that $X = L^1[0, 1]$, let

$$D = \{x \in X \mid x \text{ is absolutely continuous, } x(0) = 0, x' \in X^*\},$$

and set

$$A: X \rightrightarrows X^*: x \mapsto \begin{cases} \{x'\}, & \text{if } x \in D; \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Phelps and Simons' [14, Example 4.3], A is an at most single-valued maximal monotone linear relation with proper dense domain, and A is neither symmetric nor skew. Now set $f = \|\cdot\|$. Then Theorem 3.1 implies that $A + \partial f$ is maximal monotone.

Remark 3.3 To the best of our knowledge, the maximal monotonicity of $A + \partial f$ in Example 3.2 cannot be deduced from any known result different from Theorem 3.1. Perhaps the closest related result is due to Verona and Verona (see [22, Corollary 2.9(a)] or [19, Theorem 53.1]) who showed the following: “Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper, lower semi-continuous, and convex, let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone, and suppose that $\text{dom } A = X$. Then $\partial f + A$ is maximal monotone.” Note that Theorem 3.1 cannot be deduced from this result because A need not have full domain as in Example 3.2.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Dr. E.R. Csetnek, Dr. M.D. Voisei, and the referee for their helpful comments. Heinz Bauschke was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the Canada Research Chair Program. Xianfu Wang was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] H.H. Bauschke and J.M. Borwein, “Maximal monotonicity of dense type, local maximal monotonicity, and monotonicity of the conjugate are all the same for continuous linear operators”, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 189, pp. 1–20, 1999.
- [2] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, and X. Wang, “Fitzpatrick functions and continuous linear monotone operators”, *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 18, pp. 789–809, 2007.
- [3] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “Autoconjugate representers for linear monotone operators”, *Mathematical Programming (Series B)*, vol. 123, pp. 5–24, 2010.
- [4] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “Monotone linear relations: maximality and Fitzpatrick functions”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 673–686, 2009.
- [5] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “An answer to S. Simons’ question on the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear operator and a normal cone operator”, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 17, pp. 195–201, 2009.
- [6] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “Examples of discontinuous maximal monotone linear operators and the solution to a recent problem posed by B.F. Svaiter”, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, in press.

- [7] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “On Borwein-Wiersma Decompositions of monotone linear relations”, submitted;
<http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2772v1>, December 2009.
- [8] J.M. Borwein and J.D. Vanderwerff, *Convex Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [9] R.S. Burachik and A.N. Iusem, *Set-Valued Mappings and Enlargements of Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [10] D. Butnariu and A.N. Iusem, *Totally Convex Functions for Fixed Points Computation and Infinite Dimensional Optimization*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [11] R. Cross, *Multivalued Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, 1998.
- [12] S. Fitzpatrick, “Representing monotone operators by convex functions”, in *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra 1988)*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 20, Canberra, Australia, pp. 59–65, 1988.
- [13] R.R. Phelps, *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [14] R.R. Phelps and S. Simons, “Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 5, pp. 303–328, 1998.
- [15] R.T. Rockafellar, “Extension of Fenchel’s duality theorem for convex functions”, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 33, pp. 81–89, 1966.
- [16] R.T. Rockafellar, “On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 149, pp. 75–88, 1970.
- [17] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets, *Variational Analysis*, 2nd Printing, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [18] S. Simons, *Minimax and Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [19] S. Simons, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [20] S. Simons, “The least slope of a convex function and the maximal monotonicity of its subdifferential”, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 71, pp. 127–136, 1991.
- [21] B.F. Svaiter, “Non-enlargeable operators and self-cancelling operators”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 17, pp. 309–320, 2010.

- [22] A. Verona and M.E. Verona, “Regular maximal monotone operators and the sum theorem”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, pp. 115–128, 2000.
- [23] M.D. Voisei, “A maximality theorem for the sum of maximal monotone operators in non-reflexive Banach spaces”, *Mathematical Sciences Research Journal*, vol. 10, pp. 36–41, 2006.
- [24] M.D. Voisei, “The sum theorem for linear maximal monotone operators”, *Mathematical Sciences Research Journal*, vol. 10, pp. 83–85, 2006.
- [25] M.D. Voisei, “Calculus rules for maximal monotone operators in general Banach spaces”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 15, pp. 73–85, 2008.
- [26] M.D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu, “Linear monotone subspaces of locally convex spaces”, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 18, pp. 29–55, 2010.
- [27] L. Yao, “The Brézis-Browder Theorem revisited and properties of Fitzpatrick functions of order n ”, submitted;
<http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.4056v1>, May 2009.
- [28] C. Zălinescu, *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing, 2002.